UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,202	02/14/2006	Kare Aflekt	2005_0957A	6616
	7590 07/23/200 , LIND & PONACK, I	EXAMINER		
2033 K STREE	T N. W.	BELL, CHARLES NEWTON		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4116	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Appli	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
			0,202	AFLEKT ET A	AL.			
Office Action Summary		Exam	iner	Art Unit				
		CHAF	RLES BELL	4116				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears or	the cover sheet	with the correspondence	e address			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIST STATE IN THE MAIST STATE OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAIST STATE OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAIST STATE OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MONTHS FRO	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In I munication. tatutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUI no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) Me application to become	NICATION. 'a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133	this communication.			
Status								
	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 21 June 200)5					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 June 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٥/١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·	,	,				
· ·		annlication						
,	Claim(s) <u>9-16</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
		are withdrawn non	r consideration.					
·	5)∭ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-16</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) <u>9-70</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election	on requirement					
ا ا	Claim(3)are subject to restri	ction and/or election	m requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 June 200</u>	<u>95</u> is/are: a)⊠ acc	epted or b)□ ob	jected to by the Exami	ner.			
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the drawing	(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is re	quired if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 3	37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority							
	3. Copies of the certified copies	•		en received in this Natio	onal Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/21/2005</u> . 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

1. In response to the Preliminary Amendment filed June 21, 2005, claims 1-8 have been cancelled and the newly added claims 9-16 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 10-13, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "the heat source" in sentence 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For the purpose of examination, it will be assumed that the "heat source" is the "heat rejector", (paragraph [0012], sentence 3).

The term "will be" in claims 10 and 11 is indefinite and examiner suggests that the term be replaced by "is." For the purpose of examination, it will be assumed that the replacement has been made.

Claims 12 and 13 recite the limitation "the split stream" in sentence 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims. For the purpose of examination, it will be assumed that the "split stream" is the "split flow", (paragraph [0012], sentence 3).

Claims 15 and 16 recite the limitation "the counterflow heat exchanger" in sentence 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For the purpose of examination, it will be assumed that the "the counterflow heat exchanger" is the "the counterflow heat exchanger", (paragraph [0012], sentence 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 9-11, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Furuya et al. (U. S. Pat No. 6,260,367 B1).

In regard to claims 9-11, Fig. 1 of Furuya et al. discloses a compression refrigeration system including a compressor (2), a heat rejector (3), an expansion means (5) and a heat absorber (6, and see col. 4, ln. 16-21) connected in a closed circulation circuit that may operate with supercritical high-side pressure (see col. 4, ln. 42 and 43) wherein the system heat pump efficiency can be improved by controlling the compressor suction gas superheat and that carbon dioxide (see col. 4, ln. 40) is applied as the refrigerant in the system (as per claim 9); wherein the superheat will be increased when the temperature of the heat source (3, and see col. 4. ln. 17) is above a predetermined level (as per claim 10); wherein the limitation for the superheat will be compressor (2, and see col. 4, ln, 16) discharge temperature, (as per claim 11).

In regard to claims 15 and 16, Fig. 1 of Furuya et al. discloses a compression refrigeration system wherein the counterflow heat exchanger (4) is used to heat the compressor (2) suction gas; wherein the counterflow heat exchanger (4) may be a separate unit (see col.4, ln.16-20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not

Application/Control Number: 10/540,202

Art Unit: 4116

commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Furuya et al. (U. S. Pat No. 6,260,367 B1) in view of Burk et al. (U. S. Pub. No. 2001/0052228 A1).

In regard to claims 12 and 13, it is noted that Furuya et al. does not specifically disclose the system wherein the split stream from the heat rejector is used for compressor suction gas superheating; wherein the split stream from the high pressure side is expanded directly down to heat absorber pressure after suction gas heating. However, Fig. 2 of Burk et al. teaches a split stream (5 and 5a) from the heat rejector (3) is used for compressor (1) suction gas superheating, (see paragraph [0026] sentences 1, 4 and 6), (as per claim 12); Fig. 2 of Burk et al. also teaches a split stream (5 and 5a) from the high pressure side is expanded (7, and see paragraph [0026] sentence 2) directly down to heat absorber pressure after suction gas heating (as per claim 13). Hence, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the compression refrigeration system of Furuya et al. with the split stream of Burk et al. resulting in a refrigeration system capable of super heating the compressor suction gas.

In regard to claim 14, Furuya et al. further discloses the system wherein the split stream flow may be regulated (9 and 10, and see col. 4 ln. 57 and 58, and ln. 66 and 67) in order to control suction gas superheat.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to CHARLES BELL whose telephone number is (571)270-

5538. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM - 4:30PM EST Monday

through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Joe Cheng can be reached on (571)272-4433. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/C. B./

Examiner, Art Unit 4116

7/19/08

/Joe H Cheng/ Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 4116